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Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a severe consequence of major trauma and is frequently both chronic and highly disabling. Although there are several medications approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of PTSD, an Institute of Medicine report found that the most effective treatments for PTSD are psychotherapies. These psychotherapies have primarily been trauma focused, involving imaginal or in-person exposure to traumatic reminders and other triggers. More cognitively focused psychotherapies also exist. Yet despite the efficacy of psychotherapy as a first-line treatment for PTSD, large individual differences in outcomes exist, with roughly only half of patients responding to treatment and fewer than that fully remitting. In light of the fact that there are few other consistently effective treatments for PTSD, it is both scientifically and clinically imperative to understand who will respond to psychotherapy and why. Nijdam and colleagues make an important contribution toward this goal in this issue.

Meta-analyses of brain structure, brain function, and neuropsychological functioning in PTSD have demonstrated consistent impairments in systems important in emotion (including fear), memory, and executive functions. At the neuropsychological level, moderate effect size (ie, Cohen d ~ 0.5) impairments have been observed in learning and memory and executive functions such as sustained attention. These functions involve overlapping neural circuitry in frontoparietal regions, with verbal memory additionally requiring frontal-hippocampal interactions. In light of the impairments in verbal learning and memory in PTSD and the putative importance of these processes in exposure therapy, Nijdam and colleagues sought to determine whether the degree of verbal memory impairment at baseline in patients predicts treatment outcome in a large cohort of patients with PTSD. They did this using data from their recently published study that compared brief eclectic psychotherapy (BEP) to eye movement desensitization and reprocessing therapy (EMDR). Both of these therapies involve a central role for trauma memory exposure. The aim of the clinical trial was to determine whether 1 therapy was more effective than the other or led to a more rapid response. Across the 140 patients with PTSD randomized to BEP or EMDR, the authors had earlier found that ultimate treatment outcomes were equivalent, but EMDR led to a more rapid rate of symptom reduction.

The central finding in the present study is that impaired baseline verbal memory, measured during encoding and short- and long-term retrieval, predicted worse treatment outcome. Moreover, this relationship held similarly for both BEP and EMDR. This study builds on an earlier study from another group that examined verbal memory in 16 patients with PTSD and found a similar relationship between baseline performance and response to a trauma exposure–based therapy. The study by Nijdam and colleagues thus provides critical replication in a much larger sample, which is particularly notable given the relative dearth of psychotherapy treatment–prediction studies in PTSD. Moreover, the fact that 2 treatments were examined, to which patients were randomized, further demonstrates the consistency of the effect. At the same time, however, the greatest weakness of the present study is that it lacks a control arm and did not find differences between the 2 study arms. As such, an alternative explanation that the present results cannot rule out is that having impaired verbal memory predicts poor response to any intervention, including a placebo or low-level control therapy. In other words, it may index an element of illness severity, which, when greater, is associated with less capacity for recovery. The lack of a control arm, moreover, is a weakness in most psychotherapy treatment prediction studies in any disorder, including PTSD. Thus, to push the field toward use of baseline measures in guiding treatment selection, future studies would do well to include a control arm or another intervention with likely dissociable mechanisms or predictors.

The findings of Nijdam and colleagues carry several important implications derived from the key strength of the study—namely, the replication in a large sample across 2 treatments of the predictive value of pretreatment verbal memory. First, the question now is not whether verbal memory predicts, which this study clearly demonstrates, but rather to what degree does this reflect a specific role for verbal memory (compared to related functions that are also perturbed in PTSD, such as attention)? Are the findings related to psychotherapy outcome specifically or to any intervention? What about cognitive-focused therapies that lack a strong exposure component? Second, it would be important to go beyond behavioral neurocognitive measures and also describe the neurobiological correlates to help unravel the precise mechanisms underlying treatment response. For example, are the findings driven by activity in frontal cortical regions (which may overlap with functions such as attention) or activity in the hippocampus (where...
volumetric changes have been reported\textsuperscript{12} in PTSD and found to occasionally associate with verbal memory impairments?)

Third, given their predictive utility, verbal memory measures should be included in every clinical trial for PTSD (and arguably they are important for other disorders as well). These are easy measures to acquire that need little training. To this end, verbal memory has been included in the recently announced set of common data elements for trauma-related research, coordinated through the federally sponsored PhenX Toolkit (http://phenxtoolkitstage.rti.org/). Finally, though research, coordinated through the federally sponsored PhenX announced set of common data elements for trauma-related

To this end, verbal memory has been included in the recently announced set of common data elements for trauma-related research, coordinated through the federally sponsored PhenX Toolkit (http://phenxtoolkitstage.rti.org/). Finally, though effective exposure-based psychotherapies have existed for some time for PTSD, their mechanisms of action have been largely theoretical or based on clinical or self-report scales. The robust findings reported by Nijdam and colleagues,\textsuperscript{3} even with the concern about lack of a control arm, provide a strong foundation for the utility and mechanistic relevance of at least 1 objective measure. This is a very important trend in the field that should be strongly encouraged.

Finally, a more speculative, but exciting, future direction that this study suggests is that psychotherapy outcome in PTSD may be improved by enhancing patients’ verbal memory capacities prior to treatment. For example, cognitive training that targets this function, and the neural circuits that underlie it, may lead to a brain that is more amenable to the effects of psychotherapy, thus yielding better clinical outcomes. Similarly, pharmacologic or neurostimulation methods could be used to enhance functioning in verbal memory–associated neural circuitry. The fact that this future direction can already be tested reflects the strengths of the findings reported by Nijdam and colleagues,\textsuperscript{3} which also finally break out of small sample–size experiments that have limited prior treatment prediction efforts.
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